REMARKS

A. Introduction

Claims 45 through 77 were presented for examination.

Claims 45 through 60 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable over Byles U.S. Patent No. 6,161,776.

Claims 61 through 77 were allowed.

B. Claim Cancellation

In order to allow claims 61-77 to be issued in the present application, Applicant has cancelled rejected claims 45-60 which were objected to. Consequently, there should be no further impediments to the issuances of claims 61-77. It is the Applicant's intention to file a continuation application which include claims 45-60 for continued prosecution.

CONCLUSION

Claims 61-77 have been allowed. As a result of the cancellation of claims 45-60, claims 61-77 should now issue.

If the Examiner finds impediments to the allowance of claims 61-77 and in the opinion of the Examiner a telephone conference between the undersigned and the Examiner would help remove such impediments, the undersigned respectfully requests such a telephone conference.

Respectfully submitted,

GUNN & LEE, P.C.

700 North St. Mary's Street, Suite 1500

San Antonio, TX 78205-3596

(210) 886-9500 Telephone

(210) 886-9883 Facsimile

By:

John/C. Cave

Regas. No. 48,084

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

enclosed) is being deposited on the date shown below with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450," as follows:

37 CFR 1.8(a)	<u>37 CFR 1.10</u>
With sufficient postage as First Class Mail.	[] As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," Mailing Label No. EV 678936878 US.
Date:, 2007	Date: October 24, 2007

lissa Hudson

G:\TDL\Byles, Joe\P-8084.1\Response to OA of September 24, 2007.doc